

Visual Reasoning in Summation: Area-based Approaches to Arithmetic and Geometric Progressions

Md Sadikur Rahman

Khalisani Mahavidyalaya, Hooghly, West Bengal, India

Abstract

This classroom note presents two unified, visually motivated derivations for the sums of arithmetic and geometric progressions. Instead of relying solely on algebraic manipulations, each derivation emerges naturally from the areas of bounded regions under simple curves. These area-based proofs offer a pedagogically engaging approach that bridges algebraic reasoning and geometric intuition, helping students visualize classical summation results through the lens of calculus and coordinate geometry.

Keywords: arithmetic progression, geometric progression, visual proof, area under curves

1 Introduction

Arithmetic and geometric progressions are fundamental ideas in mathematics that appear in many areas such as algebra, calculus, and real-life applications. Although their algebraic formulas are well known, students often find it difficult to visualize why these results hold. Presenting these series through geometric or area-based reasoning allows learners to connect symbolic formulas with visual understanding.

This approach follows the educational vision of Courant and Robbins (1965), who emphasized the unity between geometry and algebra, and Pólya (1954), who highlighted the role of plausible and visual reasoning in mathematical discovery. In modern calculus learning, Stewart (2015) also encouraged the use of graphical methods to explain accumulation and summation intuitively. More recently, Chakraborty (2023) provided an elegant integral-based interpretation for the geometric series, inspiring further exploration of such visual proofs.

In this note, two classical results—the sums of arithmetic and geometric progressions—are revisited using area-based visualizations. These simple geometric constructions not only offer an alternative proof but also serve as effective tools for classroom teaching, helping students see the natural connection between algebraic formulas and geometric reasoning.

2 A Geometric View of Arithmetic Progression

This section offers an elegant geometric intuition: the sum of the sequence equals the area under a linear function, visually seen as stacking trapezoids with linearly increasing heights.

Proposition 1. *If $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}$ are in arithmetic progression, then the sum of these numbers is given by*


$$S_n = a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{n-1} = \frac{n}{2}(2a + (n-1)d),$$

where $a_i = a + id, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

Proof. Consider the straight line $y = dx + a$. The ordinates at $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ represent the terms of the arithmetic progression. The area bounded by this line, the x -axis, and these ordinates can be divided into a series of trapezoids, each corresponding to a term in the sequence.

Figure 1

The areas of the trapezoids bounded by $y = dx + a$, x -axis and ordinates $a_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$



From Figure 1, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Area of } OA_nB_nB_0 &= \text{Area of } (OA_1B_1B_0 + A_1A_2B_2B_1 + \dots + A_{n-1}A_nB_nB_{n-1}) \\ \implies \frac{1}{2}(2a + nd)n &= \frac{1}{2}nd + (a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{n-1}) \\ \implies S_n &= \frac{n}{2}(2a + (n - 1)d). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 1. In particular, if $a = 1 = d$, then $1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n = \frac{n}{2}(n + 1)$.

This visualization helps students see the arithmetic mean as a geometric average of successive heights. In a classroom, drawing this figure on graph paper or dynamically projecting it using GeoGebra can make the “equal spacing” of heights tangible, transforming an abstract summation into a measurable geometric accumulation.

3 A Visual Derivation for Geometric Progression


Proposition 2. For $0 < r < 1$, the sum of the geometric series is given by

$$1 + r + r^2 + r^3 + \dots = \frac{1}{1 - r}.$$

Proof. Let $y = r^t$. The curve lies below the line $y = 1$ and approaches zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Figure 2

Geometric representations of the region bounded by $y = r^t$, t -axis and ordinates $t = i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$



From Figure 2, the total area under this curve from $t = 0$ to $t = n$ can be expressed as the sum of subareas between consecutive integers, giving us:

Area of the region $A_0A_nB_nB_0 =$ Area of the sub regions $(A_0A_1B_1B_0 + A_1A_2B_2B_1 + \dots + A_{n-1}A_nB_nB_{n-1})$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies \int_0^n r^t dt &= \int_0^1 r^t dt + \int_1^2 r^t dt + \int_2^3 r^t dt + \dots + \int_{n-1}^n r^t dt \\ \implies \frac{1}{\ln r}(r^n - 1) &= \frac{1}{\ln r}(r^1 - 1) + \frac{1}{\ln r}(r^2 - r) + \frac{1}{\ln r}(r^3 - r^2) + \dots + \frac{1}{\ln r}(r^n - r^{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < r < 1$, $\ln r < 0$, but the factor cancels on both sides of the equation:

$$\implies (r^n - 1) = (r - 1)(1 + r + r^2 + r^3 + \dots + r^{n-1})$$

i.e., $1 + r + r^2 + r^3 + \dots + r^{n-1} = \frac{1-r^n}{1-r}$.

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, one gets

$$1 + r + r^2 + r^3 + \dots = \frac{1}{1-r}.$$

This completes the proof. □

4 Classroom Consequences and Pedagogical Implementation

By linking the exponential decay $y = r^t$ with the decreasing rectangular subareas, students can visually grasp the convergence in geometric series. This method effectively connects integral calculus with discrete summation, reinforcing conceptual unity across mathematical domains. In classroom practice, such visual proofs can be explored interactively:

- Students can compute areas numerically for small n and compare with algebraic results.
- Teachers can encourage graphical experimentation to reveal patterns.
- Integrating digital tools allows for dynamic visualization of how areas grow or converge.

The area-based derivations presented in this note offer several advantages for classroom instruction:

Visual Understanding: Students can see the summation formulas emerge from geometric regions rather than memorizing algebraic manipulations. This visual approach helps develop geometric intuition and connects multiple mathematical concepts (algebra, geometry, and calculus).

Integration of Concepts: These proofs naturally bridge discrete summation with continuous area, showing how integral calculus provides a unifying framework for understanding series. The transition

from Riemann sums to definite integrals becomes more concrete when students see rectangular and trapezoidal approximations in action.

Dynamic Exploration: Teachers can encourage graphical experimentation using tools like GeoGebra or Desmos. Students can manipulate parameters (a, d, r, n) and observe how the geometric regions change, reinforcing the connection between algebraic expressions and visual representations.

Computational Verification: After deriving the formulas geometrically, students can verify them numerically by computing both the sum term-by-term and using the closed-form formula. This dual approach strengthens both conceptual understanding and computational skills.

Extension Opportunities: These visual methods can be extended to other series and sequences. For example, students might explore quadratic progressions using parabolic regions, or investigate alternating series using signed areas.

By presenting classical results through fresh geometric perspectives, educators can transform routine formula memorization into meaningful mathematical discovery, following the pedagogical philosophy advocated by Pólya and modern reform movements in mathematics education.

5 Conclusion

This classroom note has demonstrated how the classical formulas for arithmetic and geometric progressions can be derived through area-based geometric reasoning. By visualizing these sums as regions bounded by simple curves—a line for arithmetic progressions and an exponential function for geometric progressions—students gain deeper insight into why these formulas work.

Rather than treating summation formulas as isolated algebraic facts, we see them emerge naturally from measurable geometric quantities. This perspective not only makes the mathematics more accessible but also reveals profound connections between discrete and continuous mathematics.

For classroom implementation, these visual derivations offer multiple entry points for student engagement: graphical exploration, numerical verification, and conceptual understanding all reinforce each other. By transforming abstract summation into concrete geometric accumulation, we provide students with mental images that support long-term retention and flexible problem-solving.

References

- Chakraborty, B. (2023). Sum of geometric series via integral. *American Mathematical Monthly*, 130(3), 287.
- Courant, R., & Robbins, H. (1965). *What is mathematics? An elementary approach to ideas and methods* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Pólya, G. (1954). *Mathematics and plausible reasoning* (Vol. 1). Princeton University Press.
- Stewart, J. (2015). *Calculus: Early transcendentals* (8th ed.). Cengage Learning.



Md Sadikur Rahman is an Assistant Professor in Mathematics at Khalisani Mahavidyalaya, Khalisani, Hooghly, West Bengal, India. His research interests include nonlinear optimization, interval/type-2 interval analysis, numerical analysis, differential equations, metric space, and inventory control.